HEISENBERG'S STATISTICAL THEORY OF TURBULENCE AND THE EQUATIONS OF MOTION FOR A TURBULENT FLOW*

By

F. Winterberg

Desert Research Institute

and

Department of Physics

University of Nevada

Reno, Nevada

June 1967

*Supported in part by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant NGR-29-001-016

Abstract

It is shown that equations of motion for a turbulent flow can be derived which are consistent with Heisenberg's statistical theory of turbulence. These equations are linear intergro-differential equations expressing the non-local interaction of eddies with different wave numbers on the basis of Heisenberg's statistical theory.

The nonlocal terms in these equations of motions for turbulent flow have to be determined from the energy spectrum of the turbulent motion. Since the energy spectrum is known only after the turbulent flow has been determined, one has to solve the nonlocal linear equations of motions self-consistently with the nonlinear intergro-differential equation for the energy spectrum.

In contrast to the Navier-Stokes equations, the non-linearity occurs here only in the equation for the energy spectrum and not in the equation of motion itself. This fact facilitates the integration of these equations greatly.

Our analysis is extended to include turbulent convection. In the spirit of Heisenberg's hypothesis, equations of motion and energy equations are formulated which are consistent with the equations of the energy spectrum for free turbulent convection derived by Ledeoux, Schwarzschild and Spiegel. From these equations, dispersion relations and growth rates are obtained which take into account the phenomena of turbulent mixing. With this method, one can treat turbulent convection problems which arise in stellar and planetary atmospheres where the classical solution of laminar free convection cannot be applied.

1. Turbulent Fluid Motion and the Hypothesis of Isotropic Homogeneous Turbulence.

We will assume that by some degree of approximation the motion of a turbulent fluid can be thought of as being a small scale turbulent velocity superimposed on the average fluid velocity. The "eddies" of the turbulent velocity field will interact and thus give rise to an additional frictional force which might be thought of as being caused by an eddy viscosity.

This picture is in someway analogous to the kinetic theory description of a gas or fluid. There also the fluid motion may be described by a small scale molecular motion superimposed onto an average fluid motion. The average velocity which defines a convenient frame of reference in which the fluid is at rest, is obtained by taking the velocity moment of the molecular velocity distribution function. The molecular velocity, superimposed on this average velocity, is then determined by the distribution function in this frame of reference.

As an example, one may consider the case of uniform fluid motion. If the fluid is in thermodynamic equilibrium, the distribution function in the frame at rest will be a displaced Maxwellian. Taking the velocity moment of this distribution function, one obtains the average fluid motion. A Galilei transformation to a system moving with this average velocity will then define the system at rest with the fluid. The distribution function in this new system is a homogeneous Maxwellian.

In fluid dynamics, the assumption of a homogeneoud Maxwellian is only valide in very special cases, uniform motion being a trivial one. It will not be valid, for instance, in any flow with shear, where momentum is exchanged by particle motion between different fluid layers. As a result the distribution function changes from a Maxwellian to some nonequilibrium distribution function. However, the relaxation time, being essentially the particle collision time, is generally so short that a deviation of the distribution function from a Maxwellian will be very small. Also, near a boundary the distribution function will be affected by collisions with the wall. But as long as the mean free path is small compared with the characteristic distance determined by the spatial separation of the boundaries, the deviation of the distribution function from a Maxwellian is always negligible. The assumption of a Maxwellian permits one to obtain simple expressions for the viscous friction force in the Navier-Stokes equation.

In the problem of turbulent motion, it is tempting to ask whether a similar approximation cannot be made there too, by looking at the analogy between colliding molecules in kinetic theory and interacting eddies in turbulent mixing. Because of the analogy between the two different processes in kinetic theory, the assumption of an istropic Maxwellian velocity distribution can only correspond to a turbulent motion with an isotropic spectrum of turbulence. If we make this assumption the turbulent fluid motion may be described by an average velocity over which a small scale isotropic turbulent motion is superimposed.

The validity of an isotropic Maxwellian velocity distribution in kinetic theory resulted from a mean free path much smaller than the characteristic length of the fluid. A small mean free path implies many collisions by which the fluid relaxes rapidly into a Maxwellian distribution, and the assumption of a small mean free path is usually satisfied for most problems of interest.

From the analogy between colliding particles and interacting eddies it follows that the assumption of a small mean free path in kinetic theory must correspond in turbulence to the assumption of a small "mean free path" for a turbulent eddy, small if compared with the dimensions of the system, in which the turbulent motion takes place. However, the assumption of a small "mean free path" for turbulent eddies cannot be satisfied as well as the corresponding assumption of a small mean free path in kinetic theory. The reason for this can be seen as follows: Turbulent motion can be thought of as consisting of eddies of different sizes described by a spectrum in wave number space. According to Heisenberg's theory the "mean free path" of an eddy with a wave number k is of the order $1/k$. If the characteristic dimension of the system is given by L, then the assumption of a small "mean free path" will break down for eddies with a size comparable to or larger than L, or for wave numbers smaller than $k = k_c = 1/L$, where wave number k_c is of the same order of magnitude as the cutoff wave number in Heisenberg's statistical theory of homogeneous isotropic turbulence.

2. Equations of Motions and Energy Spectrum of Turbulence.

We are considering an incompressible fluid with the equation of motion

$$\frac{\partial \underline{v}}{\partial t} + (\underline{v}\cdot\nabla)\underline{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \upsilon\nabla^2\underline{v} \quad , \qquad (2.1)$$

and the equation of continuity

$$\mathrm{div}\ \underline{v} = 0 \quad . \qquad (2.2)$$

In equation (2.1) the term $(\underline{v}\cdot\nabla)\underline{v}$ represents a nonlinear interaction and it is theis interaction which leads to turbulence.

In Heisenberg's statistical theory[1], the behavior of istropic homogeneous turbulence is described by an equation for the energy spectrum. Heisenberg's theory was generalized by Chandrasekhar[2] to include time dependence. In this theory, the energy spectrum $F(k,t)$ of turbulent motion is given by the solution of an integro-differential equation:

$$- \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{k_o}^{k} F(k',t)\,dk' =$$

$$2 \left[\nu + \kappa \int_{k}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{F(k'',t)}{k''^3}}\, dk'' \right] \int_{k_o}^{k} F(k',t) k'^2 dk' \quad , \qquad (2.3)$$

$$k = (k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad .$$

In equation (2.3) the second term in the square bracket is a function of the wave number k and may be considered as a turbulent or eddy viscosity, which is the fundamental assumption of Heisenberg's theory. The constant κ is a universal dimensionless constant of the order 1. Thus, we define the eddy viscosity $\nu(k)$ in wave number space by

$$\nu(k) = \kappa \int_{k}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{F(k',t)}{k'^3}}\, dk' \quad . \qquad (2.4)$$

k_o is the cutoff wave number which can be related to the size of the largest possible eddy consistent with the fluid boundaries.

To understand the physical meaning of Heisenberg's theory, we have to derive an equation for the energy spectrum from the equations of motion (2.1) assuming isotropic homogeneous turbulence. For this we expand the velocity and pressure fields in wave number space

$$\underline{v}(\underline{r},t) = \sum_{\underline{k}} \underline{v}(\underline{k},t)e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{r}} \quad , \qquad (2.5)$$

$$p(\underline{r},t) = \sum_{\underline{k}} p(\underline{k},t)e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{r}} \quad . \qquad (2.6)$$

The assumption of isotropy implies that we can average the expressions for the energy spectrum over a spherical surface in $\underline{k}$ - space. The energy spectrum F(f,t) is then given by

$$F(k,t) = \frac{V}{8\pi^3} k^2 \int \langle \underline{v}(\underline{k},t)\underline{v}^*(\underline{k},t)\rangle \, d\Omega \quad . \qquad (2.7)$$

In equation (2.7) V is a normalization volume and the brackets denote ensemble averages.

The equation for the energy spectrum is then obtained by taking the Fourier transform of equation (2.1) and multipling it by the complex conjugate transform of the velocity $\underline{v}^*$ $(\underline{k},t)$, and in accordance with (2.7) taking the average over a spherical surface in $\underline{k}$ - space. We finally take into account the vanishing of pressure velocity correlations in the case of isotropic turbulence [3] and obtain

$$-\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 2\nu k^2 F - \int_{k_o}^{\infty} Q(k,k')dk' \quad . \qquad (2.8)$$

In equation (2.8) Q results from the nonlinear interaction term and is trilinear in the Fourier transform of the velocity field. By comparing equation (2.3) with equation (2.8), it follows that Heisenberg's theory implies the ad hoc assumption that

$$\int_{k_o}^{k} dk' \int_{k_o}^{\infty} Q(k',k'')\,dk'' = -2\kappa \int_{k}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{F(k'')}{k''^3}}\,dk'' \int_{k_o}^{k} F(k')k'^2 dk' . \tag{2.9}$$

Althought is is not obvious how good this assumption really is we can say that the overall agreement of Heisenberg's theory with measured energy spectra supports the hypothesis (2.9).

We are therefore inclined to ask the following question: Waht kind of equation must replace (2.1) in order that condition (2.9) is fulfilled exactly?
The answer to this question must lead to a set of equations of motion consistent with Heisenberg's statistical theory and which describe the motion of a turbulent flow.

To obtain the answer we proceed as follows:

I. We introduce an eddy viscosity in wave number space defined by $\nu_\varepsilon(k)$. This eddy viscosity is different from the eddy viscosity (2.4) but related to it by

$$\nu_\varepsilon(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 F(k)} \frac{d}{dk}\left[\nu(k) \int_{k_o}^{k} F(k')k'^2 dk'\right] . \tag{2.10}$$

II. With the eddy viscosity defined by equation (2.10) we construct the function

$$K(|\underline{r}|) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \nu_\varepsilon(k) e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{r}} d\underline{k} . \tag{2.11}$$

III. The eauation of motion (2.1) is then replaced by the following equation

$$\frac{\partial \underline{v}}{\partial t} = - \frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p + \nu\nabla^2\underline{v} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int K(|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|)\nabla^2\underline{v}(\underline{r}')d\underline{r}' \quad . \qquad (2.12)$$

Equation (2.12) will lead exactly to Heisenberg's expression for the energy spectrum, which may easily be demonstrated. For the proof we take the Fourier transform of equation (2.12):

$$\frac{\partial \underline{v}(\underline{k})}{\partial t} = - i\frac{P}{\rho}\underline{k} - [\nu + \nu_\varepsilon(k)]k^2\underline{v}(\underline{k}) \quad . \qquad (2.13)$$

We multiply equation (2.13) with $\underline{v}^*(\underline{k})$ and average according to (2.7). As before we take into account the vanishing of pressure-velocity correlations and have

$$- \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 2\ \{\nu + \nu_\varepsilon(k)\}k^2 F \quad . \qquad (2.14)$$

Integrating equation (2.14) from $k'=k_o$ to $k'=k$ we obtain equation (2.3). THis complete the proof.

By comparing equation (2.12) with equation (2.1), one can see that the term $(\underline{v}\cdot\Delta)\underline{v}$ has been replaced by a nonlocal term. The nonlocality is a result of the wave-number-depentend eddy viscosity. This behavior is not surprising because the eddies have a finite size of the order 1/k and therefore their interaction with other eddies must be nonlocal. Furthermore, in contrast to equation (2.1) equation (2.12) is linear. However, the nonlinearity appears here in the equation for the energy spectrum, the solution of which has to be known in order to construct the kernel function $K(l \approx l)$ according to equation (2.11). Since the equation for the energy spectrum can be only solved after the flow problem is determined, or has to solve the system of both equations self-consistently. But the removal of the nonlinearity from the equations of motion is a great advantage over the Navier Stokes equations. The linearity in the equations of motions simplifies greatly the solution of the set of equations describing the motion of turbulent flow. This will be demonstrated for the important problem of free turbulent convection treated below.

3. Turbulent Convection.

The theory outlined in the preceding paragraph can be easily generalized to include turbulent convection. This may be of significance for the treatment of convection problems in stellar and planetary atmospheres.

Heisenberg's theory is valid only for fluid motions of small Mach numbers. Therefore, in applying Heisenberg's theory to convection problems we are restricted to the Boussinesq approximation[4] with the following equations of motion

$$\frac{\partial \underline{v}}{\partial t} + (\underline{v}\cdot\nabla)\underline{v} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p' + \nu\nabla^2\underline{v} + g\alpha T'\underline{e}_z \quad , \qquad (3.1)$$

and the energy equation

$$\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} + \underline{v}\cdot\nabla T' = \chi\nabla^2 T' + \beta\underline{v}\cdot\underline{e}_z \quad , \qquad (3.2)$$

to be supplemented by

$$\mathrm{div}\ \underline{v} = 0 \quad . \qquad (3.3)$$

In the equations (3.1) - (3.2), T' and p' are the perturbations of the temperature and pressure fields. α is the thermal expansion coefficient, $\beta = -\nabla\Delta T$ is the excess of the temperature gradient over the adiabatic temperature gradient, and $\underline{e}_z$ is a unit vector in the vertical direction. χ is the heat conduction coefficient.

To find the implications of Heisenberg's concept on the set of equations (3.1) - (3.3) we again have to derive the equations for the turbulent energy spectrum. There we need in addition to $F(k,t)$ defined by (2.7), the following spectral functions

$$G(k,t) = \frac{V}{8\pi^3} k^2 \int <T'(\underline{k},t)T'^*(\underline{k},t)>d\Omega \quad , \qquad (3.4)$$

$$H(k,t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V}{8\pi^3} k^2 \underline{e}_z \cdot \int \{<\underline{v}(\underline{k},t)T^{*\prime}(\underline{k},t)> + <\underline{v}^*(\underline{k},t)T'(\underline{k},t)>\}d\Omega \ . \qquad (3.5)$$

By the same procedure as before, we obtain from (3.1) and (3.2) the following equations for the spectral functions

$$-\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 2\nu k^2 F - 2g\alpha H - \int_{k_o}^{\infty} Q(k,k')dk' \quad , \qquad (3.6)$$

$$-\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = 2\chi k^2 G - 2\beta H - \int_{k_o}^{\infty} U(k,k')dk' \quad . \qquad (3.7)$$

In equation (3.7) $U(k,k')$ is mixed trilinear in temperature and velocity. This set of equations , in order to have a solution, must be supplemented by an additional relation between the functions F,G and H. In the theory of Ledoux, Schwarzschild and Spiegel[5] it is assumed that the velocity and temperature fluctuations are in phase which is expressed by

$$H = \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}FG} \quad . \qquad (3.8)$$

To formulate the equations for the spectral functions in the spirit of Heisenberg's eddy viscosity hypothesis it is rather obvious that we have to make the same approximation for $Q(k,k')$ as in (2.9). For the third term on the r.h.s. of equation (3.7) however, we have to make an additional hypothesis not contained in Heisenberg's theory. By comparing equation (3.6) and (3.7) one may connect the third term on the r.h.s. of (3.7) with the heat tranported by eddies. It is thus tempting to put in analogy to equation (2.9)

$$\int_{k_o}^{k} dk' \int_{k_o}^{\infty} U(k',k'')\,dk'' = 2\chi(k) \int_{k_o}^{\infty} G(k')k'^2 dk' \quad , \qquad (3.9)$$

where $\chi(k)$ is a wave-number-dependent eddy heat conduction coefficient.

The heat conduction coefficient for an ideal gas is related to the kinematic viscosity ν by

$$\chi = \nu/\gamma \quad , \qquad (3.10)$$

where γ is the specific heat ratio.

Depending on the number of degrees of freedom of the gas molecules, which are in between 3 and 6, we have

$$4/3 < \gamma < 5/3 \quad . \qquad (3.11)$$

If the temperature and velocity fluctuations are in phase as assumed by Ledeoux, Schwarzschild and Spiegel a proportionality similar to (3.10) should also hold for the eddies describing the turbulent velocity field. This implies

$$\chi(k) = a\nu(k)$$
$$3/5 < a < 3/4 \quad . \qquad (3.12)$$

We thus have

$$\int_{k_o}^{k} dk' \int_{k_o}^{\infty} U(k',k'')\,dk'' = 2a\nu(k) \int_{k_o}^{k} G(k')k'^2 dk' . \qquad (3.13)$$

The r.h.s. of eq. (3.13) has the same property as U, that is, it is bilinear in the temperature and linear in the velocity fluctuation.

In analogy to (2.10) we introduce a second turbulent heat conduction coefficient $\chi(k)$ defined

$$\chi_\varepsilon(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 G(k)} \frac{d}{dk} \left[\chi(k) \int_{k_o}^{k} G(k')k'^2 dk'\right]$$

$$= \frac{a}{k^2 G(k)} \frac{d}{dk} [\nu(k) \int_{k_o}^{k} G(k')k'^2 dk'] \quad . \qquad (3.14)$$

Hence, we obtain for the spectral functions F,G and H the following set of equations

$$-\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 2\{\nu + \nu_\varepsilon(k)\}k^2 F - 2g\alpha H$$

$$-\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = 2\{\chi + \chi_\varepsilon(k)\}k^2 G - 2\beta H \qquad (3.15)$$

$$H = \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}FG} \quad .$$

In stellar atmospheres the heat transport by radiation is much larger than the heat transport by turbulence. For this reason, the heat transport by convection can be neglected as was done in the theory of Ledoux, Schwarzschild and Spiegel. In their treatment the second equation (3.15) is approximated by

$$\chi k^2 G = \beta H \quad , \qquad (3.16)$$

or by eliminating G from (3.16) with the third eq. (3.15) we have

$$H = \frac{\beta}{\chi k^2} F \quad . \qquad (3.17)$$

Finally inserting expression (3.17) into the first equation of (3.15) one obtains the equation by Ledoux, Schwarzschild and Spiegel for the energy spectrum of free turbulent convection.

As in the preceding chapter it is now easy to construct equations of motions for turbulent convection which are consistent with the equations for the spectral functions (3.15). In analogy to (2.11), we introduce the function

$$K^*(|\underline{r}|) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \chi_\varepsilon(k) e^{i\underline{k}\cdot\underline{r}} d\underline{k} \qquad , \qquad (3.18)$$

and replace (3.1) and (3.2) by the following new set of equations

$$\frac{\partial \underline{v}}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\rho}\nabla p' + \nu\nabla^2\underline{v} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int K(|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|)\, \nabla^2\underline{v}(\underline{r}')d\underline{r}' + g\alpha T'\underline{e}_z \;, \qquad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{\partial T'}{\partial t} = \chi\nabla^2 T' + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int K^*(|\underline{r}-\underline{r}'|)\nabla^2 T'(\underline{r}')d\underline{r}' + \beta\underline{v}\cdot\underline{e}_z \quad . \qquad (3.20)$$

The first two eq. of (3.15) for the spectral functions F, G and H follow from (3.19) and (3.20). The proof is straightforward and similar to the derivation of equation (2.14) from (2.12).

4. Dispersion Relations and Growth Rates for Free Turbulent Convection.

In the classical treatment of thermal convection, the equations (3.1) and (3.2) are linearized by omitting the nonlinear terms $(\underline{v}\cdot\nabla)\underline{v}$ and $\underline{v}\cdot\nabla T'$. The resulting set of equations is then Fourier - analyzed in space and time into the following principal modes of convection ($\underline{v}$={u,v,w}, V velocity amplitude)

$$
\begin{aligned}
u &= +V \frac{k_z k_x}{k^2} \cos(k_x x) \sin(k_y y) \cos(k_z z) e^{nt}, \\
v &= +V \frac{k_z k_y}{k^2} \sin(k_x x) \cos(k_y y) \cos(k_z z) e^{nt}, \\
w &= +V \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{k^2} \sin(k_x x) \sin(k_y y) \sin(k_z z) e^{nt}, \\
T' &= +V \frac{n+\nu k^2}{g\alpha} \sin(k_x x) \sin(k_y y) \sin(k_z z) e^{nt}, \\
p' &= -V \frac{n+\nu k^2}{k} \frac{k_z}{k} \sin(k_x x) \sin(k_y y) \cos(k_z z) e^{nt} .
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.1}
$$

The growth rate n for these principal modes is determined by the following characteristic equation or dispersion relation:

$$
n = - \frac{\nu + \chi}{2} k^2 [1 \pm (1 - \mu + \mu \frac{g\alpha\beta}{\nu\chi} \frac{k_x^2 + k_y^2}{k^6})^{\frac{1}{2}}] \quad , \tag{4.2}
$$

where

$$
\mu = \frac{4\nu\chi}{(\nu+\chi)^2} = \frac{4Pr}{(1+Pr)^2} \quad . \tag{4.3}
$$

$Pr = \nu/\chi$ is the so-called Prandtl number.

The dispersion equation (4.2) has two solutions corresponding to two possible signs. This means eq. (4.1) describes two modes, stable and unstable. The stable modes are interpreted physically by falling currents, and the unstable modes by rising currents.

In addition to the two modes given by equation (4.1) the equations of motions permit one more mode in which only horizontal velocities occur. This mode is represented by

$$u = +V \frac{k_y}{k} \cos(k_x x) \sin(k_y y) \cos(k_z z) e^{nt},$$

$$v = -V \frac{k_x}{k} \sin(k_x x) \cos(k_y y) \cos(k_z z) e^{nt},$$

$$w = T' = P' = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

and the dispersion relation determining the growth rate

$$n = -\nu k^2 \quad . \tag{4.5}$$

The solution given by the classical theory is only applicable to laminar convection problems. However, since most convection phenomena in atmospheres are turbulent, the classical theory breaks down. The turbulent equations of motion which have been derived in the preceding chapter can be used to calculate turbulent convection problems in an approximation consistent with Heisenberg's theory.

In the turbulent problem, we have to make a Fourier analysis of equations (3.19) and (3.20) together with the supplementary equation (3.3). The solution of the turbulent problem is then obtained as follows: We introduce a total viscosity $\nu^*(k)$ in k space which is defined by

$$\nu^*(k) = \nu + \nu_\varepsilon(k) \quad , \tag{4.6}$$

and similarly a total heat conduction coefficient

$$\chi^*(k) = \chi + \chi_\varepsilon(k) \quad . \tag{4.7}$$

With these wave number dependent coefficients, we obtain the following principal modes of turbulent convection

$$u = +V\frac{k_z k_x}{k^2}\cos(k_x x)\sin(k_y y)\cos(k_z z)e^{n^*t} ,$$

$$v = +V\frac{k_z k_y}{k^2}\sin(k_x x)\cos(k_y y)\cos(k_z z)e^{n^*t} ,$$

$$w = +V\frac{k_x^2+k_y^2}{k^2}\sin(k_x x)\sin(k_y y)\sin(k_z z)e^{n^*t} , \qquad (4.8)$$

$$T' = +V\frac{n+\nu^*k^2}{g\alpha}\sin(k_x x)\sin(k_y y)\sin(k_z z)e^{n^*t},$$

$$P' = -V\frac{n+\nu^*k^2}{k}\frac{k_z}{k}\sin(k_x x)\sin(k_y y)\cos(k_z z)e^{n^*t} ,$$

where the turbulent growth rate n^* for the modes are given by

$$n^* = \frac{\nu^*(k)+\chi^*(k)}{2}k^2[1\pm(1-\mu^*+\mu^*\frac{g\alpha\beta}{\nu^*(k)\chi^*(k)}\frac{k_x^2+k_y^2}{k^6})^{\frac{1}{2}}] , \qquad (4.9)$$

with

$$\mu^* = \frac{4\nu^*(k)\chi^*(k)}{(\nu^*(k)+\chi^*(k))^2} . \qquad (4.10)$$

Quite analogous to the laminar mode (4.4), there is an additional mode involving horizontal velocities only and which in the turbulent case is given by

$$u = +V\frac{k_y}{k}\cos(k_x x)\sin(k_y y)\cos(k_z z)e^{n^*t} ,$$

$$v = -V\frac{k_x}{k}\sin(k_x x)\cos(k_y y)\cos(k_z z)e^{n^*t} .$$

$$w = T' = P' = 0 , \qquad (4.11)$$

with the growth rate

$$n^* = -\nu^*(k)k^2 \qquad . \qquad (4.12)$$

Eq. (4.8) - (4.12) have the same character as the corresponding laminar equations (4.1) - (4.5). The only difference between both solutions, the laminar and the turbulent, is in the replacement of the viscosity and heat conduction coefficients by their total values involving the wave-number dependent eddy transport coefficients as defined by eq. (4.6) and (4.7). The eddy viscosity and eddy-heat conduction coefficients have to be calculated from (2.10) and (3.14) by using the expressions for the spectral functions F and G. However, the form of the spectral functions is known only after the growth rates have been calculated. From this it follows that the expressions for the growth rates have to be solved self-consistently with the equations (3.15) for the spectral functions F, G and H.

In the case of a steady state convection one has obviously

$$-\frac{\partial F(k,t)}{\partial t} = 2n^*F(k) \qquad , \qquad (4.13)$$

and

$$-\frac{\partial G(k,t)}{\partial t} = 2n^*G(k) \qquad .$$

The equations for the spectral function thus take the final form

$$n^*F = \{\nu + \nu_\varepsilon(k)\}k^2F - g\alpha H$$

$$n^*G = \{\chi + \chi_\varepsilon(k)\}k^2G - \beta H \qquad (4.14)$$

$$H = \sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}FG} \qquad .$$

The equations (4.14) for the spectral functions have to be solved simultaneously with the growth rate functions $n^*(k)$ given by (4.9) - (4.12) which involves the knowledge of F and G through $\nu_\varepsilon(k)$ and $\chi_\varepsilon(k)$. Solutions of these equations for the spectral functions making the approximation (3.16) have been obtained by the Ledoux, Schwarzschild and Spiegel in their paper which was quoted earlier. In their case, it was demonstrated that the Kolmogoroff-law for the spectral function F(k) was valid over a large range of wave lengths.

For obtaining first approximation results it may be therefore tempting to calculate $\nu_\varepsilon(k)$ and $\chi_\varepsilon(k)$ from (2.10) and (3.14) by assuming the validity of the Kolmogoroff $k^{-5/3}$ power law.

5. Conclusion

Equations of motion for turbulent flow have been derived which are consistent with Heisenberg's statistical theory of turbulence in the sense that Heisenberg's equation for the energy spectrum is an exact consequence of it. In contrast to other phenomenological theories which make some a priori assumption concerning eddy transport coefficients the theory presented in this paper is nonlocal, and as a consequence of the nonlocality the equations are integro-differential equations. The theory is extended to include turbulent convection.

The theory may be very useful to determine the character of complicated convection problems in stellar or planetary atmospheres which otherwise can be treated by numerical analysis only under immense computational efforts.

References

(1) Heisenberg, W., (1948). Zs. f. Phys. 124, 628 and Proc. Roy. Soc. London, A, 195, 402, (1948).

(2) Chandrasekhar, S., (1949) Phys. Rev. 75, 896.

(3) Hinze, T. O., Turbulence, (1959) McGraw-Hill, New York.

(4) Spiegel, E. A. and Veronis, G. (1960), Ap. J., 131, 442.

(5) Ledoux, P. Schwarzschild, M. and Spiegel, E. A. (1961) Ap. J., 133, 184.